Secondhand Smoking and Depressive Symptoms Among In-School Adolescents
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Introduction: Smoking has been linked with depressive symptoms in adolescents, but data on secondhand smoking and depressive symptoms in low- and middle-income countries are scarce. Thus, this study analyzes the association between secondhand smoking and depressive symptoms among in-school adolescents from 22 low- and middle-income countries.

Methods: Data from the 2003—2008 Global School-Based Student Health Survey were analyzed in June 2019. Data on past-week exposure to secondhand smoke and past-year depressive symptoms were collected. The association between secondhand smoke and depressive symptoms was studied using multivariable logistic regressions and meta-analyses.

Results: The sample consisted of 37,505 adolescents aged 12—15 years who never smoked. The prevalence of depressive symptoms increased from 23.0% in adolescents with no secondhand smoking to 28.9% in those with secondhand smoking every day in the past week. After adjusting for sex, age, food insecurity, and country, there was a dose—response relationship between secondhand smoking and depressive symptoms in the overall sample (0 days: reference; 1—2 days: OR=1.06, 95% CI=0.95, 1.18; 3—6 days: OR=1.38, 95% CI=1.20, 1.58; 7 days: OR=1.63, 95% CI=1.44, 1.86). The country-wise analysis showed that secondhand smoking on at least 3 days (versus <3 days) in the past week was associated with a 1.48-fold increase in the odds of depressive symptoms (95% CI=1.39, 1.59), with a low level of between-country heterogeneity (I²=4.2%).

Conclusions: There was a positive association between secondhand smoking and depressive symptoms among in-school adolescents from low- and middle-income countries. Further research should investigate causality and assess whether prevention of exposure to secondhand smoke can have a positive effect on the mental well-being of adolescents.
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INTRODUCTION

Depression affects around 264 million people in the world.1 In terms of years lived with disability, depression is the third leading cause of disability worldwide.1 Depressive disorders occur throughout the life course and are also prevalent in young age. For example, the prevalence of adolescent depression has been estimated to be around 12.5%.2 Adolescent depression is associated with an increased risk of mental (e.g., alcohol use disorder3 and suicidal behavior4) and physical morbidity (e.g., overweight,5 type 2 diabetes,6 and high blood pressure5) later in life. It also confers an increased risk of continued or
recently found that the role of secondhand smoking (SHS) on depression onset. SHS, also known as passive smoking, is defined as the unintended inhalation of smoke by nonsmokers. SHS can increase risk for depression via stress, chronic adverse physical conditions, and the biological effects of nicotine. Indeed, several studies conducted among adults have found that SHS increases the risk for depressive symptom onset. Furthermore, a recent meta-analysis of observational studies showed that SHS is associated with depressive symptoms in a dose-dependent manner.

However, 3 key limitations of the existing literature necessitate further research. First, most of the previous studies on SHS and depression were conducted in adults, and thus little is known about the SHS–depression relationship in adolescents. Studies on adolescents are particularly important, not only for the devastating consequences of adolescent depression, but also because the developing brain in children is particularly susceptible to substances found in SHS (e.g., nicotine). Second, data from low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) are scarce. This is an important omission as enforcement of tobacco control policy legislation is weaker in LMICs compared with high-income countries. A high prevalence of depressive symptoms among adolescents has been further reported in this setting and mental health care is also limited. Finally, there are no multi-country studies on this topic. The only 2 previous studies investigating the SHS–depressive symptom relationship in adolescents from LMICs were single-country studies conducted in Iran and China, and only 1 was nationally representative. Multi-country studies are important, as they can provide information on whether associations are country-specific and also illustrate a global picture of the association between SHS and depressive symptoms.

Thus, the aims of this study are to analyze the association between SHS and depressive symptoms among 37,505 in-school adolescents aged 12–15 years who never smoked from 22 LMICs (5 WHO regions), assess whether there are dose-dependent associations, and examine whether associations are consistent across countries. Given that there are important sex differences in nicotine metabolism and depression susceptibility, sex differences in the SHS–depressive symptom relationship are also assessed.

METHODS

Study Sample
Publicly available data from the 2003–2008 Global School-Based Student Health Survey (GSHS) were analyzed. Details on this survey can be found at www.who.int/chp/gshs and www.cdc.gov/gshs. Briefly, the GSHS was jointly developed by WHO and the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and other UN allies. The survey draws content from the CDC Youth Risk Behavior Survey, for which test–retest reliability has been established. The survey used a standardized 2-stage probability sampling design for the selection process within each participating country. For the first stage, schools were selected with probability proportional to size sampling. The second stage involved the random selection of classrooms that included students aged 13–15 years within each selected school. All students in the selected classrooms were eligible to participate in the survey regardless of age. Data collection was performed during one regular class period. The questionnaire was translated into the local language in each country and consisted of multiple choice response options; students recorded their response on computer scannable sheets. All GSHS surveys were approved, in each country, by both a national government administration (most often the Ministry of Health or Education) and an IRB or ethics committee. Student privacy was protected through anonymous and voluntary participation, and informed consent was obtained as appropriate from the students, parents, or school officials. Data were weighted for nonresponse and probability selection.

From all publicly available data, all data sets from LMICs that included the variables pertaining to this analysis were selected. If there were more than 2 data sets from the same country, the most recent data set was chosen. Surveys conducted after 2008 were not included, as depressive symptoms were not assessed. Thus, a total of 22 countries were included in this study. The characteristics of each country or survey are provided in Table 1. Data were nationally representative for all countries except Tanzania (Dar es Salaam), China (Beijing), Ecuador (Quito), and Chile (Metropolitan).

Measures
Exposure to SHS was ascertained by asking: During the past 7 days, on how many days have people smoked in your presence? Answer options were: 0 days, 1 or 2 days, 3–4 days, 5–6 days, and all 7 days. Adolescents who replied 0 days were considered to have no SHS exposure, whereas those who were exposed to SHS in the past 7 days were grouped into the following categories: 1–2 days, 3–6 days, and 7 days.

Depressive symptoms were assessed by asking the following question: During the past 12 months, did you ever feel so sad or hopeless almost every day for 2 weeks or more in a row that you...
stopped doing your usual activities? Response options were yes and no.24

Control variables included sex, age, food insecurity (as a proxy for SES), and country. As in a previous GSHS study, food insecurity was used as a proxy for SES as there were no variables on SES in the GSHS.25 Specifically, this was assessed by the question: During the past 30 days, how often did you go hungry because there was not enough food in your home? Answer options were categorized as never, rarely/sometimes, and most of the time/always.26

### Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed in June 2019. The analysis was restricted to adolescents aged 12–15 years as information on the exact age outside of this age range was not available, and most of the students were within this age range. Data on 48,963 adolescents aged 12–15 years were available, but the final sample consisted of 37,505 adolescents who had never smoked a cigarette to avoid the confounding effect of tobacco use. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was used to estimate the association between SHS (exposure) and depressive symptoms (outcome) using the overall, sex-wise, and country-wise samples. The exposure variable was the 4-category variable on SHS when the overall and sex-wise samples were used. However, for country-wise analyses, a dichotomized variable (≥3 days/week versus <3 days/week) was used to obtain stable estimates, as the sample size in each country was small. Three days/week was used as the cut off, as the risk for depressive symptoms was particularly increased beyond this threshold based on the overall sample (Table 2). To assess between-country heterogeneity in the association between SHS and depressive symptoms, Higgins’s $I^2$ was calculated. Higgins’s $I^2$ represents the degree of heterogeneity that is not explained by sampling error, with a value of <40% often considered negligible and 40%–60% considered moderate heterogeneity.27 Additional analyses were conducted to assess whether there was a significant between-group heterogeneity for country income level and region. Finally, sex and food insecurity were tested as effect modifiers in the association between SHS and depressive symptoms by including product terms (i.e., SHS X sex and SHS X food insecurity) in the model using the overall sample.

All analyses were adjusted for sex, age, food insecurity, and country with the exception of the country-wise and sex-stratified analyses, which were not adjusted for country and sex, respectively. Multilevel models were not used, as such analyses can produce biased estimates when used with complex study designs.28 Taylor linearization methods were used in all analyses.

### Table 1. Survey Characteristics by Country

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country income</th>
<th>WHO region</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Response rate (%)</th>
<th>N (nonsmokers)</th>
<th>SHS (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>AFR</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>2,085</td>
<td>47.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>SEAR</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>2,092</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania (Dar es Salaam)</td>
<td>AFR</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>1,538</td>
<td>57.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>AFR</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1,526</td>
<td>39.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower middle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China (Beijing)</td>
<td>WPR</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1,678</td>
<td>54.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Djibouti</td>
<td>EMR</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>48.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador (Quito)</td>
<td>AMR</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1,072</td>
<td>52.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guyana</td>
<td>AMR</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>58.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>SEAR</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>6,808</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>SEAR</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>2,398</td>
<td>83.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>EMR</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1,094</td>
<td>68.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>EMR</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1,770</td>
<td>51.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>WPR</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>2,650</td>
<td>38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>SEAR</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>2,124</td>
<td>34.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>EMR</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>2,097</td>
<td>60.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper middle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>AMR</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>69.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>AFR</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1,060</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile (Metropolitan)</td>
<td>AMR</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>823</td>
<td>72.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada</td>
<td>AMR</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>932</td>
<td>54.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Lucia</td>
<td>AMR</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>55.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Vincent and the Grenadines</td>
<td>AMR</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>58.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>AMR</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>1,821</td>
<td>68.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aBased on the World Bank classification at the time of the survey. 

*bN is based on those aged 12–15 years.

*cPrevalence of exposure to secondhand smoke on at least 1 day in the past 7 days among those who had never smoked.

AFR, African Region; AMR, Region of the Americas; EMR, Eastern Mediterranean Region; SEAR, South-East Asia Region; SHS, secondhand smoking; WPR, Western Pacific Region.
to account for the sample weighting and complex study design. Results from the logistic regression analyses are presented as ORs with 95% CIs. The level of statistical significance was set at \( p<0.05 \). Statistical analyses were performed with Stata, version 14.1.

### RESULTS

The final sample consisted of 37,505 adolescents aged 12–15 years who never smoked (56.3% girls; mean age=13.8 [SD=0.9] years). Overall, 53.6% of the sample was exposed to SHS on at least 1 day in the past week, and 24.5% of adolescents had depressive symptoms in the past year. The prevalence of SHS ranged from 34.4% in Thailand to 83.3% in Indonesia (Table 1). The prevalence of depressive symptoms increased from 23.0% in adolescents with no SHS to 28.9% in those with SHS every day in the past week (Figure 1). The results of the multivariable regression models are shown in Table 2. After adjusting for sex, age, food insecurity, and country, there was a dose–response relationship between SHS and depressive symptoms in the overall sample (0 days: reference; 1–2 days: OR=1.06, 95% CI=0.95, 1.18; 3–6 days: OR=1.38, 95% CI=1.20, 1.58; 7 days: OR=1.63, 95% CI=1.44, 1.86). Sex and food insecurity were not significant effect modifiers in the SHS–depressive symptom relationship. Figure 2 illustrates the results of the country-wise analysis. Overall, SHS on at least 3 days in the past week was associated with a 1.48-fold increase in the odds for depressive symptoms (95% CI=1.39, 1.59), and the level of between-country heterogeneity was low (\( I^2=4.2\% \)). Finally, there was no significant between-group heterogeneity for country income level (Appendix Figure 1, available online) and region (Appendix Figure 2, available online).

### DISCUSSION

Overall, more than half (54%) of the adolescents in the sample who had never smoked reported SHS exposure. Moreover, there was a dose–response relationship between SHS and depressive symptoms. When compared with no SHS, everyday exposure in the past 7 days was associated with a 1.63-times higher odds for depressive symptoms. Finally, the country-wise analysis showed that SHS on at least 3 days in the past week (versus fewer than 3 days) was associated with a 1.48-fold increase in the odds for depressive symptoms, and this association was similar across all countries (\( I^2=4.2\% \)). This is the first multi-country study as well as one of the very few studies from LMICs on this topic.

Findings from this study support those of previous cross-sectional studies on SHS and depression or depressive symptoms among adolescents conducted in single high-income countries (i.e., U.S.\(^{11}\) and Korea\(^{29–32}\)) and LMICs (i.e., Iran\(^{20}\) and China\(^{21}\)) and add to the literature by demonstrating for the first time that this association exits in a variety of countries across multiple continents. There are several hypotheses to explain the association between SHS and depressive symptoms in adolescents. First, it has been shown that SHS may increase levels of perceived stress by factors such as physical discomfort (e.g., coughing and eye irritation),\(^{31}\) and stress is a strong predictor of depressive symptoms in male and female adolescents.\(^{33}\) Second, SHS exposure is positively associated with several chronic physical conditions in childhood and adolescence (e.g., increased BMI,\(^{34}\) asthma,\(^{35}\) and sensorineural hearing loss\(^{36}\)), and these conditions can favor the occurrence of depressive symptoms or depression.\(^{37–39}\) Third, nicotine may increase vulnerability to depression via its influence on other neurotransmitters (e.g., acetylcholine, catecholamine, and serotonin).\(^{40}\) For example, it was observed in an animal study that chronic nicotine infusion leads to a significant decrease in the synthesis of serotonin transporter in the raphe nucleus of rats, and this reduction could precipitate depression after cessation of SHS exposure.\(^{41}\) SHS may also lead to lower levels of dopamine and \( \gamma \)-aminobutyric acid, and previous research has shown that this is positively associated with depressive symptoms.\(^{32,43}\) Fourth, SHS is a risk factor for inflammation and the production of inflammatory cytokines, which can indirectly increase risk for...

### Table 2. Association Between Secondhand Smoking (Exposure) and Depressive Symptoms (Outcome) Estimated by Multivariable Logistic Regression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secondhand smoking</th>
<th>Overall(^{b}) OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Boys(^{b}) OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Girls(^{b}) OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 days</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–2 days</td>
<td>1.06 (0.95, 1.18)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.83, 1.17)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.99, 1.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–6 days</td>
<td>1.38 (1.20, 1.58)</td>
<td>1.34 (1.12, 1.61)</td>
<td>1.40 (1.16, 1.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>1.63 (1.44, 1.86)</td>
<td>1.52 (1.25, 1.86)</td>
<td>1.71 (1.45, 2.01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Analysis is restricted to those who had never smoked. Boldface indicates statistical significance (\( p<0.05 \)).

\(^{a}\)Adjusted for sex, age, food insecurity (proxy of SES), and country.

\(^{b}\)Adjusted for age, food insecurity (proxy of SES), and country.
depression and depressive symptoms. Finally, although the regression models were adjusted for food insecurity (a proxy of SES), it is possible that adolescents exposed to SHS are more likely to live in disadvantaged neighborhoods than those not exposed to SHS, and this could also partly explain the findings.

If corroborated by further longitudinal studies, these findings suggest that reducing SHS exposure may be important not only for the prevention of physical diseases such as ischemic heart disease, lung cancer, and asthma, but also for adolescent depressive symptoms in LMICs. As LMICs often suffer from a lack of smoke-free air policies, better implementation of these policies in LMICs is needed, and these policies should target public places where children and adolescents are frequently present (e.g., schools, hospitals, and outdoor playgrounds). Furthermore, apart from protection of adolescents from SHS exposure, a more fundamental solution to reduce SHS among adolescents is the reduction in the number of smokers in a population. Strategies to reduce smoking itself at a population level include monitoring of tobacco use, provision of affordable and accessible support for smokers to quit smoking, increasing awareness among the general population about the dangers of smoking, enforcement of bans on tobacco advertising, and tax increase on tobacco. Regarding SHS at home, parent education programs focusing on the deleterious effects of passive smoking on both physical and mental health are likely to be important. In terms of future research, longitudinal and intervention studies in adolescent samples are warranted to gain a better understanding on causality and the potential utility of SHS prevention in reducing depression risk.

Strengths of this study are the large sample size and the use of predominantly nationally representative data of young adolescents attending school from multiple continents. Moreover, the restriction of the analysis to adolescents who never smoked precludes the possibility of confounding by smoking.

**Limitations**

The findings should be interpreted in the light of several limitations. There was a lack of detailed data on SHS (e.g., type of smoke, place, circumstances, and intensity),

---

**Figure 1.** Prevalence of depressive symptoms by number of days exposed to secondhand smoke in the past 7 days. Restricted to those who had never smoked. Bars denote 95% CIs.
and these data could have provided more insight into the association between SHS and depressive symptoms. In particular, future studies should take place of SHS exposure into account, as a study including Korean adolescents showed that the SHS-depressive symptom relationship was significant when SHS exposure occurred at home but not at school.30 Relatedly, the question on SHS was based on the number of days people smoked in the presence of the student. Given that the level of exposure within a day can vary widely between students, it may not accurately reflect the level of SHS exposure. Moreover, SHS and depression were assessed with a single question based on self-report for which validity and reliability have not been established. Although these measures have been used in numerous previous publications,24,49−51 self-reported data are subject to biases (e.g., social desirability bias and recall bias) and misclassification is possible. It is also possible that these questions were interpreted differently across various cultures and languages. The use of 3 days/week as the cut off for SHS exposure in the country-wise analysis is likely to have improved specificity; the question on depressive symptoms referred to core symptoms of depression, but future studies with biochemical verification of SHS (e.g., salivary cotinine) and clinical assessments of depressive symptoms are warranted. Although it is unlikely that exposure to SHS changes within a timeframe of a year, variables on SHS (past week) and depressive symptoms (past year) used different timeframes. Also, food insecurity may not completely capture differences in SES playing a significant role in the SHS-depression relationship, and thus residual confounding by SES

Figure 2. Country-wise association between ≥3 days/week of secondhand smoking (vs <3 days/week of secondhand smoking) and depressive symptoms estimated by multivariable logistic regression. Analysis is restricted to those who had never smoked. Models are adjusted for sex, age, and SES (food insecurity). Overall estimate was obtained by meta-analysis with fixed effects.
remains possible. For example, as family structure has been reported to be associated with both SHS exposure and depression in adolescents, residual confounding because of this factor may exist. Data on chronic physical conditions (e.g., asthma) were also not available in the data set. Thus, their mediating role in the association between SHS exposure and depression could not be assessed. In addition, given that 1 in 3 children and adolescents is out of school in LMICs, these results may not be generalizable to adolescents not attending school. Furthermore, parental consent may have been more difficult to obtain for adolescents with a low SES who were at a potentially increased risk for both SHS exposure and depressive symptoms. This may have led to an underestimation of the prevalence of SHS and depressive symptoms among adolescents from LMICs, as well as an underestimation of the association of the 2 variables. Finally, as this was a cross-sectional study, one cannot draw any conclusions regarding causality or temporality of the SHS−depressive symptom relationship. However, the mere fact that depressive symptoms are more common in adolescents who are more highly exposed to SHS is a concern given the devastating consequences of adolescent depression and the higher risk for noncommunicable diseases associated with SHS exposure.

CONCLUSIONS

This multi-country cross-sectional study found a positive association between SHS and depressive symptoms among approximately 37,500 in-school adolescents from 22 LMICs. Further research is warranted to investigate causality and assess whether prevention of SHS exposure can reduce the burden of adolescent depression.
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