

1 ***Harmonia axyridis* in Great Britain: analysis of the spread and distribution of a non-**
2 **native coccinellid.**

3

4 Authors: Peter M.J. Brown^{1,2}, Helen E. Roy^{1,2}, Peter Rothery¹, David B. Roy¹, Remy L.
5 Ware³ and Michael E.N. Majerus³

6

7 ¹NERC Centre for Ecology and Hydrology - Monks Wood, Abbots Ripton, Huntingdon,
8 Cambridgeshire, PE28 2LS, UK.

9 ²Department of Life Sciences, Anglia Ruskin University, East Road, Cambridge CB1 1PT,
10 UK.

11 ³Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge, CB2 3EH,
12 UK.

13

14 pmb@ceh.ac.uk

15 hele@ceh.ac.uk

16 pro@wpo.nerc.ac.uk

17 dbr@ceh.ac.uk

18 r.ware@gen.cam.ac.uk

19 m.majerus@gen.cam.ac.uk

20

21 Running title: Spread of *Harmonia axyridis* in Great Britain.

22

23 Key words: alien species, biological control, Coccinellidae, harlequin ladybird, *Harmonia*
24 *axyridis*, invasive species, non-native species

25

1 Type of article: Original research paper for the special edition “From biological control to
2 invasion: the ladybird *Harmonia axyridis* as a model species”

3

4 Corresponding author: Peter Brown, Biological Records Centre, NERC Centre for Ecology
5 and Hydrology - Monks Wood, Abbots Ripton, Huntingdon, Cambridgeshire, PE28 2LS, UK.

6 Telephone: +44(0)1487 772400; Facsimile: +44(0)1487 773467; E-mail: pmb@ceh.ac.uk

7

1 **Abstract**

2

3 *Harmonia axyridis* (Pallas) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) is native to Asia, and was widely
4 introduced as a biocontrol agent of aphids and coccids in Europe and North America. In
5 Europe *H. axyridis* is considered to be an invasive alien species. Although not known to have
6 been deliberately introduced to Great Britain, it was first recorded there in 2004, in south-east
7 England. *Harmonia axyridis* arrived in Great Britain by various means, primarily from
8 mainland Europe, but also from Canada. Extensive national and local media coverage, and a
9 dedicated website (www.harlequin-survey.org), facilitated public involvement in recording *H.*
10 *axyridis* in Great Britain; in excess of 4000 verified records of the species were received
11 between 2004 and 2006. Through detailed mapping, the objective of our study was to
12 quantify and analyse the spread of *H. axyridis* in its early stages of invasion in Great Britain.
13 Our data shows that between 2004 and 2006, the species spread north through Great Britain at
14 the rate of 58 km yr⁻¹ and west at the rate of 144.5 km yr⁻¹. In England *H. axyridis* spread
15 north to Yorkshire and west to Cornwall by the end of 2006, and also reached Wales.
16 Recorded occurrence (of one or more *H. axyridis* individuals at larval, pupal and/or adult
17 stage) in 10 km squares in Great Britain was: 2004 – 51; 2005 – 149; 2006 – 447. Records of
18 juvenile *H. axyridis* extend through most of the recorded British range of the species, and we
19 present evidence of bi-voltinism in the population in 2006.

20

1 **Introduction**

2

3 The recording of biological events in Great Britain has a long tradition; detailed recording of
4 many taxa has been carried out since the nineteenth century (Harding, 1990). Biological
5 records for Britain and Ireland are integrated and distributed through the National
6 Biodiversity Network (NBN) gateway (www.searchnbn.net), an interactive mapping website
7 holding in excess of 27 million records for over 8500 species. The biological recording
8 infrastructure in Great Britain provides an effective tool for monitoring species undergoing
9 rapid range expansions. Analysis of long-term datasets showed that various taxa (e.g.
10 dragonflies, butterflies, spiders and fish), are moving northwards in Great Britain in response
11 to climate change (Hickling et al., 2006). Invasive species are regarded as one of the most
12 serious threats to biodiversity (Glowka et al., 1994). The monitoring of biological invasions
13 is vital, in order to understand the population dynamics, habitat tolerance and impact on
14 native taxa, of the invading species. In Great Britain, monitoring projects for invasive insects
15 include the horse chestnut leafminer *Cameraria ohridella* Deschka and Dimic (Lepidoptera:
16 *Gracillariidae*) (www.forestresearch.gov.uk/leafminer), and the rosemary beetle *Chrysolina*
17 *americana* L. (Coleoptera: *Chrysomelidae*)
18 (www.rhs.org.uk/research/projects/rosemary_beetle.asp).

19

20 The harlequin ladybird or multicolored Asian lady beetle, *Harmonia axyridis* (Pallas)
21 (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae), is native to Asia (e.g. Dobzhansky, 1933; Kuznetsov, 1997) and
22 was introduced to North America and Europe to control pest aphid and coccid species on a
23 range of crops (e.g. Adriaens et al., 2003; Koch, 2003). It established in North America in
24 1988 (Chapin and Brou, 1991), spread very rapidly (Koch, 2003), and became the dominant
25 coccinellid in many areas (Colunga-Garcia and Gage, 1998; Smith et al., 1996; Tedders and

1 Schaefer, 1994). In mainland Europe, *H. axyridis* was first marketed as a biocontrol agent in
2 1995 (Coutanceau, 2006), established in the late 1990s and expanded its range rapidly,
3 especially from 2002 (Brown et al., in press). It is not known to have been deliberately
4 introduced to Great Britain, but arrived by various means, including flight and on produce
5 from mainland Europe and in packing cases from Canada (Majerus et al., 2006a; Roy et al.,
6 2005). There is no doubt that *H. axyridis* is an effective aphid predator, and its presence may
7 be welcomed by some. However, there is concern in Europe about the rapid increase in
8 distribution and abundance of *H. axyridis*, and its impact on non-target species (Adriaens et
9 al., 2003; Majerus et al., 2006b; Roy et al., 2006). Although most biocontrol companies have
10 stopped selling *H. axyridis* in Europe, a non-flying variety is still available from Biotop
11 (www.biotop.fr).

12
13 *Harmonia axyridis* has the potential to contribute to biotic homogenization (McKinney and
14 Lockwood, 1999) and to negatively impact on up to 1000 species in Great Britain (Majerus,
15 in press). These are primarily insects (including non-target Homoptera, alternative prey,
16 members of aphidophagous and coccidophagous guilds) and parasites, parasitoids, pathogens
17 and symbionts of these species (Majerus, in press). In the USA, *H. axyridis* has been
18 declared a potential pest of fruit production and processing, as the species sometimes feeds on
19 the juices of ripe fruits, such as apples, pears and grapes, in autumn (Koch, 2003). *Harmonia*
20 *axyridis* may become seriously pestilent to the native fauna of Great Britain (Majerus et al.,
21 2006b). This pest status may extend directly to humans, as *H. axyridis* tends to form very
22 large overwintering aggregations of thousands of individuals, often on or in buildings
23 (Adriaens et al., 2003; Kidd et al., 1995). Some such aggregations were observed in England
24 in 2006 (Brown and Roy, 2007).

25

1 Uniquely, the early detection of *H. axyridis* in Britain presented the opportunity to study the
2 spread of an invasive animal from the year of its arrival (Majerus et al., 2006b). There is no
3 evidence to suggest that *H. axyridis* was established in Great Britain before 2004 and
4 circumstantial evidence that argues against its presence prior to that year (Majerus and Roy,
5 2005). Through detailed mapping of adult and juvenile stages, the objective of our study was
6 to quantify and analyse the spread of *H. axyridis* in its early stages of invasion in Great
7 Britain. A further objective was to investigate the voltinism of *H. axyridis* in Great Britain, to
8 determine whether there was evidence of multiple generations per year.

9

1 **Material and methods**

2

3 ***Data collection***

4 The spread of *H. axyridis* was monitored by utilising the extensive biological recording
5 community in Great Britain, coupled with engagement of the general public. Recent
6 advances in technology, and high levels of public access to the internet and digital
7 photography, enabled a web-based biological survey to be set up. The Harlequin Ladybird
8 Survey (www.harlequin-survey.org) was one of the first online surveys of its kind in Great
9 Britain. It was launched in March 2005 in response to the first report of *H. axyridis* in Great
10 Britain, in September 2004 (Majerus, 2004). The survey benefited from high levels of media
11 interest, including the front page of The Times on 15 March 2005. Members of the British
12 public showed great willingness to look for *H. axyridis*, and to register their sightings with the
13 survey.

14

15 The dataset presented here comprises 4117 species records of *H. axyridis* in Great Britain
16 between 2004 and 2006. Each record represents a verified sighting of *H. axyridis* on a given
17 date, and comprises one or more individual ladybirds observed from one or more life stages
18 (larva, pupa and adult; records of eggs were not included). 76% of these records were
19 received from members of the British public by post, or entered online at the Harlequin
20 Ladybird Survey website or UK Ladybird Survey website (www.ladybird-survey.org); the
21 latter was set up to encourage the recording of native ladybirds. Verification of the records
22 was made by the authors after receipt of either a specimen or photograph. Most photographs
23 were received as digital images attached to emails. The remaining 24% of records were
24 received from coleopterists and other naturalists, and in particular from the London and Essex
25 Ladybird Survey. Such records were not verified by the authors but are regarded as accurate

1 and so have been included in the dataset. A further 4316 online records were received that
2 remain unverified (i.e. no photograph or specimen was sent), or were verified as another
3 species, and so are not included in the analyses. Verified records were regularly uploaded to
4 the database of the National Biodiversity Network. There they could be viewed via online
5 maps, which helped to encourage further recording.

6

7 Each species record includes the following data: recorder name; location of sighting (the grid
8 reference of the Ordnance Survey British national grid reference system); locality/site name
9 (not included for all records); date of sighting; life stage observed (larva, pupa, adult); number
10 of each life stage observed (assumed to equal 1, if not specified); and determiner name.

11 Additional optional attributes include the number of each colour form of any adults observed
12 (included for 82% of records), and supplementary comments, including habitat and/or
13 behavioural information.

14

15 Three main colour forms of adult *H. axyridis* have been found in Great Britain: f. *succinea*, f.
16 *spectabilis* and f. *conspicua*. The *succinea* complex has been divided into many subforms
17 (e.g. *siccoma* – 0 spots; *frigida* – 6 spots; *novemdecimsignata* – 19 spots) (Dobzhansky,
18 1933), but is treated as a single form here. Thus f. *succinea* has elytra with a ground colour of
19 yellow, orange, or red, and 0-21 black spots, which may or may not be fused. F. *spectabilis*
20 has black elytra with four yellow, orange, or red spots or other shaped markings, which
21 sometimes contain a central black spot. F. *conspicua* is as f. *spectabilis*, but with only two
22 spots.

23

24 The spatial resolution of the records is variable, and while approximately 20% include
25 a grid reference, enabling resolution to 100 metres, the other approximate 80% of

1 records were derived at 1 km resolution from a UK postal code (UK Government Data
2 Standards Catalogue, www.govtalk.gov.uk/gdsc/html/frames/PostCode.htm). The
3 option on the online recording form to enter the location via a UK postal code was
4 provided to make the entry of records easier for members of the public unfamiliar with
5 the grid reference system. Whilst the resolution is thus reduced for these records, the
6 reduction in user error (e.g. the problem of grid reference eastings and northings being
7 transposed), is an advantage (Majerus et al., 1990). The postal code method was
8 applicable for sightings of *H. axyridis* made within 200 metres of a specified postal
9 code, so could not be used for a minority of records where the ladybird was seen in a
10 semi-natural habitat.

11

12 Variability in recording effort (both temporally and spatially) is clearly an issue when
13 analysing a dataset of the kind presented here. Other factors being equal, more records will
14 come from areas with a higher density of recorders. Across Great Britain there were a
15 number of particularly active local groups or individuals, which contributed hotspots of
16 recorder activity, potentially biasing the results for certain areas, e.g. London, because of the
17 high activity of the London and Essex Ladybird Survey. The Harlequin Ladybird Survey
18 benefited from a high profile and enjoyed frequent local and national media attention, thus
19 potentially increasing the volume of records received at certain times. To minimise these
20 effects, the data have mostly been analysed in terms of the presence of *H. axyridis* in 10 km
21 squares (1 km squares for juveniles) by year. Thus, whether the species was recorded just
22 once or many times in any given square in a year, is not reflected in the analyses. To many
23 recorders, juvenile stages were less noticeable and more difficult to identify than the adult
24 stage, thus generally limiting the recording of juveniles.

25

1 The possibility of a reporting bias towards sightings early in the season also existed (i.e. some
2 recorders may have reported their first sighting of *H. axyridis*, but not subsequent sightings).
3 In order to minimise this effect, the importance of recording multiple sightings was stressed to
4 recorders. The peaks in record numbers observed late in each year also suggest that any effect
5 of this potential bias was minor.

6

7 ***Rate of spread***

8 The location of the northern range margin of *H. axyridis* in Great Britain was measured by
9 calculating the mean northing of the ten most northerly 10 km squares occupied each year
10 (Hickling et al., 2006). The location of the range margins in the westerly and north-westerly
11 directions were calculated each year using the same method. There is a very strong
12 assumption that *H. axyridis* colonised Great Britain primarily from the coastal regions of
13 northern France, Belgium and the Netherlands (Majerus et al., 2006a), hence the inclusion of
14 the north-westerly direction.

15

16 ***Seasonal pattern***

17 The seasonal pattern of *H. axyridis* sightings in 2005 and 2006 were examined. *Harmonia*
18 *axyridis* was first recorded in Great Britain in July 2004, so that year was excluded from the
19 analyses because of incomplete data. The data are weekly counts of the occurrence of *H.*
20 *axyridis* in grid squares. For adult *H. axyridis* 10 km squares were used, but for juveniles (i.e.
21 larvae and pupae), because of a lower number of records, 1 km squares were used.

22

23 Seasonal patterns were analysed using a Generalized Additive Model (GAM) in which the
24 counts varied randomly about a smooth trend described by a cubic smoothing spline (Green
25 and Silverman, 1994). The degrees of freedom for the fitted GAM were determined using

1 cross-validation (leaving out each data point in turn) and minimising the cross-validation
2 Poisson deviance. A GAM was fitted separately to data from 2005 and 2006 to describe the
3 seasonal pattern of observations in each year. The number of sightings was generally higher
4 throughout 2006 compared to 2005, but the GAM curves allow comparison of the shape of
5 the seasonal pattern each year. The null hypothesis of equality of shape in 2005 and 2006
6 implies that curves differ by some constant factor, i.e. parallel on a log scale. The alternative
7 hypothesis allows different curves in each year. The test-statistic is $F = \{(D_{null} - D_{alt}) / (df_{null} -$
8 $df_{alt})\} / \{D_{alt} / df_{alt}\}$, where D denotes the residual deviance and df is the corresponding degrees of
9 freedom. On the null hypothesis, the statistic follows an F distribution with $(df_{null} - df_{alt})$ and
10 df_{alt} degrees of freedom. The analysis was performed using the statistical package Genstat 6
11 (Payne et al., 2002).

12

13

1 **Results**

2

3 *Abundance and rate of spread*

4 *Harmonia axyridis* has spread rapidly in Great Britain (figure 1a). Recorded occurrence (of
5 one or more *H. axyridis* individuals at larval, pupal and/or adult stage) in 10 km squares in
6 Great Britain was: 51 in 2004; 149 in 2005; 447 in 2006; representing a 2.9-fold increase
7 from 2004 to 2005 and a 3.0-fold increase from 2005 to 2006. There was a 2.7-fold increase
8 in the number of adult *H. axyridis* records, and a 2.9-fold increase in the number of individual
9 adults recorded, from 2005 to 2006 (table 1).

10

11 The number of 10 km squares with records of juvenile *H. axyridis* increased from 8 in 2004,
12 to 51 in 2005, and 75 in 2006 (figure 1b). In 2004, evidence of *H. axyridis* breeding was
13 restricted to London and East Anglia, with juveniles recorded in a wider range of counties in
14 2005 (notably Kent and Derbyshire). Further evidence of breeding was recorded across
15 south-east England, and west as far as Devon, and north as far as Yorkshire, in 2006 (figure
16 1b).

17

18 Northerly spread (figure 2a) distances per year were: 19 km between 2004 and 2005; 97 km
19 between 2005 and 2006; mean – 58 km yr⁻¹. Westerly spread (figure 2b) distances per year
20 were: 107 km between 2004 and 2005; 182 km between 2005 and 2006; mean – 144.5 km yr⁻¹.
21 North-westerly spread (figure 2c) distances per year were: 81.2 km between 2004 and
22 2005; 107.5 km between 2005 and 2006; mean – 94.3 km yr⁻¹.

23

24 The density of records received was highest in and around London, and was also high in parts
25 of East Anglia and along the south coast of England, particularly in Kent, Sussex, Hampshire

1 and Devon (figure 1c). There is also a notable hotspot in Derby, the only location in central-
2 north England with a large number of verified records (figure 1c).

3
4 The mean number of adults per record increased year on year, from 2.9 in 2004, to 5.9 in
5 2005, and 6.2 in 2006 (table 1). The trend was different for the juvenile stages, which appear
6 to peak in 2005 (however, see discussion). A similar pattern was observed for the maximum
7 counts observed in each year (table 1).

8

9 ***Seasonal pattern***

10

11 *Adults*

12 The seasonal patterns of spread of adult *H. axyridis* in 2005 and 2006 were significantly
13 different ($F_{12,78} = 2.52, p = 0.007$). The main difference in the smoothed curves was during
14 the period 23-34 weeks, where there was a seasonal peak observed in 2006, which was not
15 observed in 2005 (figures 3a and b).

16

17 *Juveniles*

18 The seasonal patterns of spread of juvenile *H. axyridis* in 2005 and 2006 were significantly
19 different ($F_{6,89} = 3.57, p = < 0.001$). The main difference is the extra peak around week 25 in
20 2006, and the earlier date of the autumn peak in 2006. For the fitted GAMs, the main peaks
21 occur at week 44 (2005) and week 40 (2006) (figures 4a and b).

22

23

1 **Discussion**

2

3 *Rate of spread*

4 The rate of spread and increase in abundance of *H. axyridis* in Great Britain has been
5 dramatic. Westerly spread was faster than northerly spread by a factor of approximately 2.5,
6 and this may partly be explained by the likelihood of repeated recruitment of *H. axyridis* from
7 mainland Europe. Indeed, in 2006, eight of the ten most westerly 10 km squares occupied
8 were in the extreme south-west of England and close to the coast, possibly representing new
9 recruits from abroad, rather than spread from the existing British range. This factor is
10 presumed not to apply to the most northerly squares occupied, because of their greater
11 distance from mainland Europe and, in most cases, their lack of proximity to the British coast.
12 Ecological factors such as climate and habitat type may also have influenced the faster
13 westerly spread. In late October and early November 2006 there was an influx of reports of
14 large aggregations of *H. axyridis* adults, mainly at locations close to the south coast of
15 England. Aggregations of hundreds and in a few cases, thousands, of *H. axyridis* were
16 observed (Brown and Roy, 2007). Whether these large aggregations represented new recruits
17 from mainland Europe has yet to be determined.

18

19 The most closely related species to *H. axyridis* in Great Britain is *Harmonia quadripunctata*
20 (Pontoppidan) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). This species is also a relatively recent arrival to
21 Britain, but is now regarded as a native species, having expanded its native range northwards
22 within Europe, and is assumed to have reached Britain by natural means (Majerus and Kearns,
23 1989; Majerus, 1994). It is not considered invasive. Although *H. quadripunctata* is far more
24 habitat-specific than *H. axyridis*, and the mechanisms of spread may not be the same, a
25 comparison of the rate of spread of the two species is enlightening. Majerus and Kearns

1 (1989) outline the spread of *H. quadripunctata*. It was first recorded in Great Britain in West
2 Suffolk (East Anglia) in 1937, and based on earliest records for each vice county, took fifty
3 years to spread west as far as Devon, in south-west England. Our data show that *H. axyridis*
4 took just two years to spread to Devon from a similar starting point in East Anglia.

5

6 In Great Britain, the northerly range expansion of some insect groups was calculated by
7 Hickling et al. (2006), giving figures of up to 4.2 km yr⁻¹ (over a 25 year period) for the most
8 dispersive taxa (dragonflies); but these range expansions were for native species responding
9 to climate change, rather than for invasive species. There is limited quantitative data on the
10 spread of invasive insects in Europe. The spread of the horse chestnut leafminer *C. ohridella*
11 in Germany in the late 1990s was modelled, and the rate of spread calculated to vary between
12 54 km yr⁻¹ and 330 km yr⁻¹ (Gilbert et al., 2004), figures of the same order of magnitude as
13 our calculations for *H. axyridis* in Britain. In eastern North America the rate of range
14 expansion of *H. axyridis* was estimated at 442 km yr⁻¹ (McCorquodale, 1998). As it was
15 probably confounded by many intentional releases at various locations
16 (McCorquodale, 1998), this very fast expansion is not a fair comparison with that observed in
17 Great Britain. Expansion was thought to be slower in other parts of North America (Koch et
18 al., 2006).

19

20 ***Abundance and mechanism of spread***

21 Abundance of *H. axyridis* in Great Britain increased rapidly from 2004 to 2006, and this trend
22 is predicted to continue. There is very strong demographic evidence that the spread of *H.*
23 *axyridis* in Great Britain did not originate from a single immigration event, and that the
24 species arrived at different locations at various times, and by various means. Apart from the
25 spread (by flight) from continental Europe, some specimens of *H. axyridis* are known to have

1 arrived in England on imported flowers from the Netherlands, and in packing cases from
2 Canada (Majerus et al., 2006a). By 2004, *H. axyridis* had sufficient time to adapt to
3 conditions in mainland Europe and was building-up in number and spreading fast. Crossing
4 the English Channel to reach Great Britain was not a major step. It is a coincidence that
5 specimens from Canada were found in Great Britain for the first time in the same year, and it
6 is possible that it had arrived by similar mechanisms in earlier years, but failed to be noticed
7 and failed to establish.

8
9 A separate population of *H. axyridis* was evident in Derby (central England) in 2004, which is
10 thought to have originated from specimens arriving with produce to a supermarket in the city
11 (W. Grange, personal communication). Derby was not the most northerly verified location of
12 *H. axyridis* in 2004 (a single specimen was recorded in Lancashire, but with no evidence of
13 subsequent establishment), but is the only place outside of the southeast with multiple verified
14 records of *H. axyridis* in each of 2004, 2005 and 2006. Large numbers of *H. axyridis* (three
15 records each of 50+ individuals) were recorded in Derby in 2005. The colour form profile of
16 the Derby population almost totally lacked melanics in 2004 and 2005 (99.6% f. *succinea*; n =
17 495), in contrast to other parts of the country, where melanic specimens formed a significant
18 proportion of the population (a mean of 20% melanic specimens were recorded in 2005). The
19 clear difference in colour form profile strongly suggests a genetically distinct population, and
20 further work is in progress to examine this.

21
22 The observed reduction in both the number of juvenile records, and mean number of juveniles
23 per record, from 2005 to 2006, is not believed to reflect a true reduction in breeding activity.
24 Rather, this is likely to be the effect of reduced recorder effort. In particular, recorders with
25 the London and Essex Ladybird Survey worked diligently in 2005 to gather juvenile records,

1 and provided 46% of all such records; this effort was reduced to 9% of the total in 2006. A
2 47% increase in the number of 10 km squares with juvenile records from 2005 to 2006
3 suggests that there was in fact an increase in breeding activity.

4

5 Examples of invasive species in Great Britain exhibiting a ‘boom-and-bust’ population cycle
6 are unusual, although this scenario did apply to the rhododendron lacebug *Stephanitis*
7 *rhododendri* Horvath (Hemiptera: Tingidae) (Williamson, 1996). The evidence from almost
8 20 years as an established introduced species in North America suggests that this will not
9 apply to *H. axyridis* on either side of the Atlantic.

10

11 ***Evidence of bi-voltinism***

12 Some of the common and widespread coccinellid species in Britain, e.g. *Coccinella*
13 *septempunctata* (L.), are limited by the requirement of a winter dormancy period before they
14 can reproduce (Majerus, 1994). *Harmonia axyridis* does not have this limit to population
15 growth and may have several generations per year, five having been recorded in Asia (Wang,
16 1986) and four in southern Europe (Katsoyannos et al., 1997). In the cool and damp maritime
17 climate of Great Britain, we predict *H. axyridis* will achieve two, or possibly three,
18 generations per year. There is evidence of a second generation in 2006 in the data presented
19 here, with a summer peak (week 24) and an autumn peak (week 40), in juvenile records.
20 Production of two generations would help to explain the very rapid spread of *H. axyridis*
21 observed.

22

23 ***Habitat use***

24 The dataset reflects a somewhat urban distribution. Although some of this effect may be
25 biased by higher recorder effort in urban areas, it is assumed to be the result of genuine

1 habitat preference by *H. axyridis*. Lime trees, *Tilia* sp. and sycamore trees, *Acer* sp. were
2 recorded as favoured habitats, and these trees are generally found in Britain in urban
3 locations, such as parks, gardens, lining roads and churchyards. Whether *H. axyridis* will
4 thrive in semi-natural habitats is a question that remains to be answered, and an important one
5 in terms of the potential impact of the species on native coccinellids and other insects.
6 *Harmonia axyridis* has been recorded in various semi-natural habitats in Great Britain,
7 including reedbeds, and on planted and self-seeded *Pinus sylvestris* L. (Pinaceae) in East
8 Anglia. Mature *P. sylvestris* is an important habitat for several native coccinellids, including
9 *Anatis ocellata* (L.) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae), *Myzia oblongoguttata* (L.) (Coleoptera:
10 Coccinellidae) and *Myrrha octodecimguttata* (L.) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) (Majerus,
11 1994). Evidence of the strength of intraguild predation by *H. axyridis* (Pell et al., in press;
12 Roy et al., in press; Ware and Majerus, in press; Ware et al., in press) deepens concern that
13 the species will have a serious negative impact on native coccinellids.

14

15 **Conclusion**

16 We predict that the spread of *H. axyridis* in Great Britain will continue at a rapid pace, and
17 that the species will become established in Scotland by the end of 2008. To determine the
18 impact of *H. axyridis* on native species, monitoring of ladybird populations is required in
19 semi-natural habitats and urban habitats, both of which can support high abundance and
20 species richness. Quantitative research at appropriate spatial and temporal scales is essential,
21 if we are to objectively assess the ecological impact of *H. axyridis*. Field studies need to
22 focus on habitat use by this species and its interactions within the large guild of associated
23 aphidophagous species. *Harmonia axyridis* has many traits that have ensured its status as a
24 successful invasive alien species. The continued population expansion within and beyond its
25 invaded range seems inevitable.

1 **Acknowledgements**

2

3 We extend our thanks to all of the following, who have helped to make the Harlequin

4 Ladybird Survey a success: the thousands of naturalists and members of the British public

5 who have provided records; Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs, National

6 Biodiversity Network Trust, Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Natural Environment

7 Research Council, University of Cambridge and Anglia Ruskin University for funding and

8 support; Paul Mabbott and recorders from the London and Essex Ladybird Survey; Trevor

9 James, Jim Munford and Andrew Brewer of the National Biodiversity Network Trust; Mark

10 Hill, Cassie Hoyland and Henry Arnold of the Biological Records Centre; Ian Wright, Laura-

11 Jane Michie, James Waters and Francis Rowland of University of Cambridge; Julian

12 Doberski of Anglia Ruskin University; and finally Robert Frost and William Grange for

13 records and valuable contributions.

14

15

1 **References**

2

3 Adriaens T, Branquart E, Maes D (2003) The multicoloured Asian ladybird *Harmonia*
4 *axyridis* Pallas (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae), a threat for native aphid predators in Belgium?
5 Belgian Journal of Zoology 133:195-196

6

7 Brown PMJ, Adriaens T, Bathon H, Cuppen J, Goldarazena A, Hägg T, Kenis M, Klausnitzer
8 BEM, Kovar I, Loomans AJM, Majerus MEN, Nedved O, Pedersen J, Rabitsch W, Roy HE,
9 Ternois V, Zakharov IA, Roy DB (submitted to BioControl SI) *Harmonia axyridis* in Europe:
10 spread and distribution of a non-native coccinellid. BioControl

11

12 Brown PMJ, Roy HE (2007) The spread of the harlequin ladybird *Harmonia axyridis* in late
13 2006. Atropos 31:32-33

14

15 Chapin JB, Brou VA (1991) *Harmonia axyridis* (Pallas), the 3rd species of the genus to be
16 found in the United States (Coleoptera, Coccinellidae). Proceedings of the Entomological
17 Society of Washington 93:630-635

18

19 Colunga-Garcia M, Gage SH (1998) Arrival, establishment, and habitat use of the
20 multicolored Asian lady beetle (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) in a Michigan landscape.
21 Environmental Entomology 27:1574-1580

22

23 Coutanceau J-P (2006) *Harmonia axyridis* (Pallas, 1773): une coccinelle asiatique introduite,
24 acclimatée et en extension en France. Bulletin de la Société entomologique de France
25 111:395-401

1
2 Dobzhansky T (1933) Geographical variation in ladybeetles. *The American Naturalist* 67:97-
3 126
4
5 Gilbert M, Grégoire J-C, Freise JF, Heitland W (2004) Long-distance dispersal and human
6 population density allow the prediction of invasive patterns in the horse chestnut leafminer
7 *Cameraria ohridella*. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 73:459-468
8
9 Glowka L, Burhenne-Guilmin F, Synge H, McNeely J, G'ndling L (1994) A guide to the
10 Convention on Biological Diversity (Environmental Policy and Law paper no. 30).
11 International Union for the Conservation of Nature, Gland
12
13 Green P, Silverman BW (1994) Non-parametric regression and generalized additive models.
14 Chapman and Hall, London
15
16 Harding PT (1990) National species distribution surveys. In: Goldsmith FB (ed) *Monitoring*
17 *for conservation and ecology*. Chapman and Hall, London
18
19 Hickling R, Roy DB, Hill JK, Fox R, Thomas CD (2006) The distributions of a wide range of
20 taxonomic groups are expanding polewards. *Global Change Biology* 12:450-455
21
22 Katsoyannos P, Kontodimas DC, Stathas GJ, Tsartsalis CT (1997) Establishment of
23 *Harmonia axyridis* on citrus and some data on its phenology in Greece. *Phytoparasitica*
24 25:183-191
25

1 Kidd KA, Nalepa CA, Day ER, Waldvogel MG (1995) Distribution of *Harmonia axyridis*
2 (Pallas) (Coleoptera, Coccinellidae) in North Carolina and Virginia. Proceedings of the
3 Entomological Society of Washington 97:729-731
4
5 Koch RL (2003) The multicolored Asian lady beetle, *Harmonia axyridis*: a review of its
6 biology, uses in biological control, and non-target impacts. Journal of Insect Science 3:1-16
7
8 Koch RL, Venette RC, Hutchison WD (2006) Invasions by *Harmonia axyridis* (Pallas)
9 (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) in the western hemisphere: implications for South America.
10 Neotropical Entomology 35:421-434
11
12 Kuznetsov VN (1997) Lady beetles of Russian far east. The Sandhill Crane Press, Gainesville
13
14 Majerus MEN (1994) Ladybirds. No. 81, New Naturalist series. HarperCollins, London
15
16 Majerus MEN (2004) The ladybird has landed. University of Cambridge press release, 4
17 October 2004
18
19 Majerus MEN (in press) Generalist and specialist ladybirds. British Naturalists' Association
20
21 Majerus MEN, Forge H, Walker L (1990) The geographical distributions of ladybirds in
22 Britain (1984-1989). British Journal of Entomology and Natural History 3:153-166
23
24 Majerus MEN, Kearns P (1989) Ladybirds. Richmond Publishing, Slough

1 Majerus MEN, Mabbott P, Rowland F, Roy HE (2006a) The harlequin ladybird, *Harmonia*
2 *axyridis*, arrives in Britain. Entomologist's Monthly Magazine 142:87-92
3
4 Majerus MEN, Roy HE (2005) Scientific opportunities presented by the arrival of the
5 harlequin ladybird, *Harmonia axyridis*, in Britain. Antenna 29:196-208
6
7 Majerus M, Strawson V, Roy H (2006b) The potential impacts of the arrival of the harlequin
8 ladybird, *Harmonia axyridis* (Pallas) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae), in Britain. Ecological
9 Entomology 31:207-215
10
11 McCorquodale DB (1998) Adventive lady beetles (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) in eastern
12 Nova Scotia, Canada. Entomological News 109:15-20
13
14 McKinney ML, Lockwood JL (1999) Biotic homogenization: a few winners replacing many
15 losers in the next mass extinction. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 14:450-453
16
17 Payne RW, members of the Genstat 6 Committee (2002) Genstat 6 release 1 reference
18 manual. Oxford University Press, Oxford
19
20 Pell JK, Baverstock J, Roy HE, Majerus MEN, Ware RL (submitted to BioControl SI)
21 Intraguild predation of native aphidophages by *Harmonia axyridis*; a review and future
22 perspectives. BioControl
23

1 Roy HE, Baverstock J, Ware RL, Clark SJ, Majerus MEN, Baverstock KE, Pell JK (in press)
2 Intraguild predation of the aphid pathogenic fungus *Pandora neoaphidis* by the invasive
3 coccinellid *Harmonia axyridis*. *Ecological Entomology*
4
5 Roy HE, Brown P, Majerus MEN (2006) *Harmonia axyridis*: a successful biocontrol agent or
6 an invasive threat? In: Eilenberg J, Hokkanen H (eds) *An ecological and societal approach to*
7 *biological control*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht
8
9 Roy HE, Rowland F, Brown PMJ, Majerus MEN (2005) Ecology of the harlequin ladybird: a
10 new invasive species. *British Wildlife* 16:403-407
11
12 Smith WM, Arnold DC, Eikenbary RD, Rice NR, Shiferaw A, Cheary BS, Carroll BL (1996)
13 Influence of ground cover on beneficial arthropods in pecan. *Biological Control* 6:164-176
14
15 Tedders WL, Schaefer PW (1994) Release and establishment of *Harmonia axyridis*
16 (Coleoptera, Coccinellidae) in the southeastern United States. *Entomological News* 105:228-
17 243
18
19 Wang LY (1986) Mass rearing and utilization in biological control of the lady beetle *Leis*
20 *axyridis* (Pallas). *Acta Entomologica Sinica* 29:104
21
22 Ware RL, Evans N, O'Farrell K, Majerus MEN, Malpas L, Michie LJ (in press) Intraguild
23 predation by the invasive ladybird *Harmonia axyridis*: 1: British and Japanese coccinellid
24 eggs. *Neobiota*
25

1 Ware RL, Majerus MEN (submitted to BioControl SI) Intraguild predation of immature
2 stages of British and Japanese coccinellids by the invasive ladybird *Harmonia axyridis*.

3 BioControl

4

5 Williamson M (1996) Biological invasions. Chapman and Hall, London

6

7

1 **Table**

2 Table 1 – Summary of Harlequin Ladybird Survey data from Great Britain

3 (Note – records of large aggregations without a specific number of ladybirds recorded have
4 been excluded)

5


Life stage	Year	No. verified records	Total no. <i>H. axyridis</i> recorded	Mean no. <i>H. axyridis</i> per record	Maximum no. <i>H. axyridis</i> recorded
Larva	2004	13	54	4.2	10
	2005	182	1817	10.0	266
	2006	145	1410	9.7	200
Pupa	2004	5	27	5.4	10
	2005	81	1194	14.7	227
	2006	23	231	10.0	53
Adult	2004	119	344	2.9	25
	2005	1045	6180	5.9	399
	2006	2825	17641	6.2	689

6

7

1 **Figures**

2



3 (a)

(b)

(c)

4

5 Figure 1 – *Harmonia axyridis* occurrence in 10 km squares in Great Britain from 2004 to

6 2006. For (a) and (b), where a square has been recorded in more than one year, occurrence in

7 the earliest year is shown (yellow = 2004; orange = 2005; red = 2006)

8 (a) Adults and juveniles

9 (b) Juveniles only


10 (c) Density of records of adults and juveniles

11 (red = 50+ records per square; orange = 20 to 49; yellow = 10 to 19; pale green = 6 to 9; dark

12 green = 2 to 5; blue = 1)

13

1



2 (a)

(b)

(c)

3

4 Figure 2 – Range margin of *H. axyridis* in 10 km squares in Great Britain from 2004 to 2006.

5 Where a square has been recorded in more than one year, occurrence in the earliest year is

6 shown (yellow = 2004; orange = 2005; red = 2006)

7 (a) Ten most northerly squares

8 (b) Ten most westerly squares

9 (c) Ten most north-westerly squares

10

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28







Figure 3 – Adult *H. axyridis* occurrence in 10 km squares in Great Britain with fitted GAMs and degrees of freedom (df)
(a) 2005 (df = 12)
(b) 2006 (df = 13)



22 Figure 4 - Juvenile *H. axyridis* occurrence in 1 km squares in Great Britain with fitted GAMs
 23 and degrees of freedom (df)
 24 (a) 2005 (df = 6)
 25 (b) 2006 (df = 6)