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Abstract

Pharmaceutical residues in the environment have the potential to harm wildlife. A population’s fragility or an animal’s secretive nature may preclude capture and the use of invasive/destructive sampling techniques that are typically used in a risk assessment. Conventionally favoured matrices gathered opportunistically from carcasses have a finite lifespan, thereby limiting the detection window. This multidisciplinary paper aims to promote the use of non-invasive approaches and optimize use of even the most degraded carcasses. We highlight a selection of promising alternative, unconventional and underutilized sample types that could be applied in environmental monitoring efforts and wildlife forensic investigations. With a focus on non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), now under increasing scrutiny in the freshwater and terrestrial environment, we first illustrate current sampling practices and gaps in knowledge by summarizing exposure of: 1) aquatic organisms to urban effluent discharged into waterways, and, 2) scavenging species to veterinary residues in livestock and other carrion. We then consider the merits and limitations of a range of alternative environmentally robust sample options that offer a broader detection interval for NSAIDs, with emphasis on hair, wool and feathers. The viability of eyes/ocular material, bone matter, fecal matter, injection sites, ingesta/pellets and scavenging/coprophagous insects are also discussed.

Introduction

Certain species are routinely (or selectively) screened for exposure to contaminants, often as part of broader environmental monitoring efforts, to detect relevant patterns over time [1-9]. Basu et al. [10] have outlined characteristics that define a sentinel species, including high trophic status, restricted home range, widespread distribution and ability to bio-accumulate pollutants. A focus on such species makes it possible to obtain a snapshot of trophic and ecosystem health which may reveal chronic and acute exposure patterns. Such monitoring also provides archived resources that can be utilized to develop new, and improve upon existing, sampling strategies and analytical methods to maximize data gained [8]. Results can in turn be drawn from to detect, and therefore potentially mitigate against, future threats to wildlife and their habitats. While the application of wildlife forensics in this context tends to be viewed as a largely reactive process, conducted after a wildlife mortality event following exposure to an acute toxicant/toxin, the breadth of forensic techniques available (most drawn from the human realm), may further enable preventive measures and broaden enforcement options. Effectively two sides of the same coin, forensic methodologies and principles can be incorporated to strengthen wildlife and environmental monitoring efforts.

Two factors may impact the quality and/or quantity of samples recovered from wildlife for contaminant analysis: the degree of invasiveness inherent in obtaining a given sample type and its ‘lifespan’. The use of invasive or destructive forms of contaminant monitoring may be restricted or even forbidden if the focal species is imperilled [11,12]. Sampling from a fragile population or attempting to monitor elusive, secretive species will impose further constraints [13-17]. Numerous ethical considerations regarding study designs/sampling protocols and potential ramifications to individuals while investigating a given population or species, are discussed elsewhere [18,19]. A case study, with recommendations for partnership with
hunters and native communities in order to collect tissue samples and monitor wildlife, is provided in Brook et al. [20]. Such opportunistic approaches aside, it is our view that, on largely ethical grounds, non-invasive and non-destructive approaches should be favoured whenever possible, regardless of whether the focal species is threatened or ubiquitous. Fortunately the breadth of non-invasive monitoring tools available continues to expand. As we will discuss, their role in generating relevant, comparable and high quality information is progressively being recognized, as is the fact that non-invasive approaches often increase sample sizes, and hence sample power, relative to those obtained by conventional means, and they allow monitoring of species not typically ‘accessible’ via other methods.

Where sampling entails opportunistic recovery of fresh carcasses, soft tissue samples (e.g., kidney or liver), liquid samples (e.g., blood) or ingesta (e.g., gastrointestinal tract, oesophageal or stomach contents) are preferentially recovered for toxicological analysis [21-23]. When acute toxic compounds are ingested, recovery of the osseophageal passage or stomach contents is especially important, since death is generally so rapid that residuals may not have had time to distribute elsewhere [24]. The presence of toxic compounds in these samples also provides tangible evidence of direct exposure, as when seeds coated with a pesticide (and a repellent) were found in the crops of various birds during field trials designed to test the repelling agent’s efficacy [25]. While soft tissues and liquid samples are considered optimal, the window of recovery and detection in these samples is often narrowed by environmental conditions (e.g., by extreme heat and humidity causing decay/desiccation), and by scavenger and insect activity, which may hasten decomposition of both the samples themselves and the residues incorporated therein [21]. Several authors of this paper (and many of our colleagues) have repeatedly experienced the frustration of being called to the scene of a mass wildlife mortality, painstakingly collecting carcasses/samples for submission to a laboratory, but obtaining only inconclusive toxicological results due to the level of deterioration of the sample. When wildlife practitioners nonetheless suspect exposure to a toxicant, or supplemental information comes to represent samples for follow-up analyses is invaluable. In fact, this can make the difference in terms of positively identifying cause of death, and potentially securing a conviction or penalty.

This review focuses largely on exposure to, and incorporation of, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), which are increasingly sought and/or detected in the aquatic and terrestrial environment, and at their interface [26-35]. However, the principles discussed with respect to NSAIDs also apply to numerous other common therapeutic agents including antimicrobials such as antibiotics. Our aim is to highlight the tools and approaches currently available continues to expand. As we will discuss, their role in generating relevant, comparable and high quality information is progressively being recognized, as is the fact that non-invasive approaches often increase sample sizes, and hence sample power, relative to those obtained by conventional means, and they allow monitoring of species not typically ‘accessible’ via other methods.

Initial two case studies are provided to highlight conventional and novel monitoring/detection research that has assessed exposure in two upper trophic level, sentinel organisms: river otters and vultures. A discussion regarding alternative/unconventional matrices and sampling strategies as they apply to these and other wildlife species then follows.

**Case Study 1: Chronic exposure of river otters to NSAIDs in freshwater systems**

Pharmaceutical compounds and their bioactive metabolites are continually introduced into waterways and aquatic environments as complex mixtures in human waste, via treated and untreated municipal wastewater [36-39]. For example, hospital effluent contributes heavily to the load that sewage treatment facilities routinely discharge into rivers, and often reaches sewage networks without preliminary treatment [40,41]. Numerous water treatment systems and facilities are not designed for, and consequently do not effectively filter/remove, many of the unanticipated combinations of pharmaceutical compounds that continually flow through them [33,37,42-45].

In rural environments, pharmaceuticals administered to poultry and livestock may also contaminate groundwater [43] or enter surface water directly [45] and through runoff, following application of treated manure or slurry to land [46,47]. The broader issue of NSAID administration to livestock as this pertains to aquatic ecosystem contamination in rural/agricultural sectors and to wildlife exposure risk is, however, outside the scope of this paper. Whether prescribed or purchased over the counter, NSAIDs (e.g., diclofenac, ibuprofen, ketoprofen, naproxen and salicylic acid) are among the primary agents used to treat a range of animal and/or human musculoskeletal ailments [29,33,48-52]. For over a decade, diclofenac and ibuprofen have been amongst the most frequently detected pharmaceutically active compounds in waterways worldwide [28,30,33,53-56].

The uptake and metabolism of NSAIDs (primarily diclofenac, but also ibuprofen) and potential repercussions (e.g., renal, respiratory and reproductive) have already been described in numerous fish species [33,57-62]. While acute exposure/toxicity appears unlikely in wild populations [62], both chronic and ‘total mixture’ effects may instead be significant [30,33,45,53,60]. There still remains a genuine dearth of available studies regarding exposure of wildlife, especially piscivorous species and other higher level predators, to NSAIDs. As top level piscivorous predators, osprey (Pandion haliaetus), otter (Lutra sp.) and mink are generally considered to be representative barometers of aquatic/semi-aquatic ecosystem health [10, 64-71]. Interestingly, the use of mink and otter as sentinels/bio-indicators or related sample types, as both pertain to factors such as contaminant body burden, have been called into question [72,73]. However, by and large, such species are still considered to offer relevant information on local contamination [63], especially when prior levels have not yet been established (i.e., presence/absence). Other such concerns can largely be remedied by awareness of inherent bias and potential confounding effects during study design [74]. Careful consideration of differences in tolerance and physiological responses across taxa (e.g., fish, birds and mammals; [75]) will also ensure that the extent and nature of exposure observed in sentinel species can be inferred for their prey and more vulnerable species.

For almost two decades, Simpson (and colleagues) have opportunistically collected and necropsied the carcasses of river otters...
Diclofenac was present on the external surface of 5 of the otter hair samples and environmental monitoring efforts [70,76,77]. Based on their contrast, fecal matter can be recovered completely non-invasively and, during feeding and the characteristic manner they disarticulate carcasses without themselves serving as propagating agents, vultures (e.g., Gyps) are currently listed as 'Threatened', some as 'Critically Endangered' by the IUCN. The recent, almost complete collapse of several globally significant Asian Gyps populations has now been convincingly linked to residue exposure, through livestock carcasses, to the NSAID diclofenac [86-88]. In contrast, in Africa, any current veterinary or human NSAID usage and any potential repercussions of this for vulture populations is likely masked, by deliberate and secondary poisoning via pesticides and others [89,90]. Here, we consider the status of Old World vulture populations in Asia and Europe, where NSAID usage is known or suspected (respectively) to have had adverse effects on populations. We also briefly discuss the circumstances that led to observed vulture declines, as they pertain to the prediction and identification of future threats, ongoing monitoring and conservation efforts in both locations.

The situation on the Asian subcontinent

The role of the NSAID diclofenac in reducing populations of three Gyps vulture species on the Indian subcontinent from many tens of millions to <1-2 % of their original levels – the 'Asian vulture crisis', has been extensively documented and discussed elsewhere [91]. In summary, vultures were widely exposed to residues of diclofenac when they fed on livestock carcasses disposed of in carcass dumps; a common historical practice that artificially inflated Gyps populations, especially in parts of Asia dominated by Hinduism, which considers the cow as sacred and does not permit beef consumption. Vulture consumption of contaminated tissues triggered the onset of fatal visceral gout and, as vulture populations rapidly collapsed, the ecological balance quickly shifted. Far less effective, vector-carrying scavengers (e.g., feral dogs, rats) moved in to take their place. The situation has been deemed so dire for vultures in Asia that small groups of wild individuals have now been captured and housed at special breeding facilities. These individuals will now act as the 'last resort' genetic pool, should wild populations become essentially extinct, and will hopefully be used to re-populate affected regions and 'vulture safe zones', if/when the risk of diclofenac (and other toxic NSAID) exposure is eliminated, a long-term challenge. For further details, see http://www.save-vultures.org/.

Diclofenac was considered the therapeutic agent of choice for livestock owners until the onset of the vulture crisis (discovered in 2004), because of its rapid effects, low cost and widespread availability. However, once conclusively implicated in the extensive vulture mortality, measures were taken (in 2006) to withdraw it from use within the veterinary sector and to identify an effective replacement that would not harm vultures. Rigorous safety trials identified meloxicam as a suitable alternative [92,93] and a campaign was then mounted to work with government authorities and pharmaceutical manufacturers. Banned (in India, Nepal and Pakistan) for veterinary use since 2006, the perception of diclofenac's efficacy nonetheless persists. A 2011 survey regarding the effectiveness of the ban across India highlighted that the drug could still be obtained – for human use - from many pharmacies and was being illegally used on animals [94,95]. Be that as it may, veterinary usage of diclofenac appears to have halved since 2006, and surveys conducted across India and Nepal indicate that vulture populations seem to be stabilizing [88,94,95]. Further measures to remove human formulations of diclofenac from the market, or restrict their availability, are ongoing and clearly essential going forward.

The collection and analysis of tissues from carcasses available to vultures across Asia - to determine compliance with the diclofenac ban and assess the persisting exposure risk from diclofenac and other...
NSAIDs – is an integral ongoing activity [96-99]. In a series of widespread surveys undertaken since 2005 across India [94,96,97,99] detectable residues of diclofenac in cow/buffalo carcass liver tissues have been found in 1 in 10 (decreasing to 1 in 20 more recently) samples tested. In a controlled study to examine the half-life and body clearance rate of a ‘normal’ veterinary dose (i.e., 1000 mg/kg by body weight) of diclofenac in Indian cow and goat (Bos indicus and Capra hircus) [96], residues of the drug were not detected in tissues (i.e., kidney, liver and intestine) after 7 days and 26 hours, respectively, post-administration of a single intramuscular injection. Consequently, livestock animals purchased for vulture restaurants and captive facilities are now commonly held for at least one week prior to slaughter to ensure that complete elimination of any existing tissue diclofenac residues occurs [100]. The International SAVE (Saving Asia’s Vultures from Extinction) research and conservation consortium now hopes that several regions in Asia will be entirely free of diclofenac soon (at the time of writing), and that vulture release of livestock carcasses to vultures could therefore commence by 2016 [http://www.rspb.org.uk/supporting/campaigns/impact/vulture/nearlysaves.aspx].

The situation in the European union

In contrast to the previously described scenario regarding Gyps populations on the Asian subcontinent, the situation within the European Union (EU) is far more nuanced. Here - at least at the time of writing - certain species appeared robust whereas others, such as the bearded vulture (Gyps barbaetus) and Egyptian vulture (Neophron percnopterus), are currently under threat [101,102]. It is noteworthy that, whilst this review was being finalized, the precarious situation of the Egyptian vulture was emerging, with possible remedial action plans under discussion. Furthermore - again around the time of finalizing the review - diclofenac was ‘newly’ registered for veterinary use in some European countries (e.g., Spain and Italy). Regardless, even if the presence and availability of veterinary agents including NSAIDs in livestock carcasses were incidental in the EU, any repercussions arising from exposure could place an additional, unsustainable strain on such faltering populations.

A longstanding history of deliberate (and illegal) poisoning of predators in hunting and farming districts lies at the heart of the majority of vulture population declines across their European ranges [103]. However, changes to both food resource availability and quality are also relevant factors [104,105]. In 2000, a severe outbreak of BSE (bovine spongiform encephalitis, or ‘mad cow disease’) forced the EU to enact carcass control measures (regulation 1774/2002; [106,107]) in essence stipulating that fallen stock must be immediately (and ‘safely’) disposed of. In Spain, which is a European stronghold for vultures, the measures were not strictly adhered to in many rural areas partly due to the remoteness and distances of these areas [106]. Livestock carcasses are also managed by local Spanish authorities, in ‘muladares’ or traditional carcass dumps/feeding stations, and there is concern that these may be causing vultures to modify their feeding and foraging behavior by serving as a focal feeding point [108].

The dual concern is that these sites likely predispose vultures to a diet of intensively reared – and hence – heavily medicated stock, as was the case on the Indian subcontinent, but the absolute safety of these carcasses to vultures has not yet been satisfactorily confirmed, either in Spain or other parts of Europe. Several studies [109-111], now all retracted from publication, have suggested the occurrence of widespread exposure of vultures to NSAIDs (e.g., flunixin and aspirin) antimicrobials (e.g., enrofloxacin) at carcass dumps/feeding stations in Spain.

The fact that these studies were all retracted after scrutiny is unfortunate, but it does not invalidate this line of inquiry. In many parts of western Europe (e.g., Spain, Portugal and France), a permit can be obtained to provide cattle, goat, sheep, pig and horse carcasses to both wild and captive vultures, at breeding and rehabilitation facilities. Yet within the EU there is a concerning absence of clarity regarding the prevalence of veterinary agents (NSAIDs and others) in the animal carcasses provided for scavengers. Likewise, the potential risks posed to vultures and other scavenging species, including ecologically important insect assemblages remains virtually unstudied. Generally speaking, far more information is urgently needed regarding NSAIDs known or suspected to cause gut-related mortality (e.g. carprofen, ibuprofen, ketoprofen, flunixin, phenylbutazaone [112-114], no less so now that diclofenac has been registered for veterinary use in some parts of Europe, especially Spain. This will entail assessing the disposition of these agents in a relevant suite of agricultural animals, conducting safety trials with vultures (or a vulture cell line) and actively monitoring for residue levels in livestock carcasses.

Two simultaneously important monitoring goals/actions will be to:

- identify the agents/compounds of concern and create a targeted screening list;
- routinely screen intact livestock carcasses and partial components (i.e., bait material) for presence/absence of veterinary agent residues which may pose a risk to scavengers (whether or not other, more ‘prominent’ compounds are detected).

These efforts would be facilitated by the availability of a suitable suite of analytical approaches and through the greater utilization of novel, unconventional samples in which presence/absence of agents of concern can be assessed. This would also strengthen datasets by increasing sample size through the capacity to recover residues from carcasses that would previously have been considered too degraded. By carrying out such work, improved risk assessment tailored to multiple scavenging species could be achieved, as discussed in Richards et al. [80]. Relevant, environmentally robust samples, in which residues may be ‘locked in’ once an individual is exposed to an agent (e.g., hair and ocular material) are further discussed later on.

Sample Matrices for further Consideration in Wildlife Monitoring and Wildlife Forensic Investigations

The previous section discussed two wildlife monitoring case studies, and considered the use of primarily conventional strategies and more novel approaches. In turn, we outlined certain priorities for further research in these cases. In this section, several promising, environmentally robust sample types are highlighted for further consideration in wildlife monitoring and forensic efforts. This includes a discussion of both the advantages and limitations of each proposed sample matrix. The primary focus is on the incorporation of NSAIDs into animal hair (or pelage), wool and feathers, which also have the advantage that they can, in most cases, be collected non-invasively. Fecal matter (often referred to as ‘scat’ in wildlife monitoring circles), is also discussed as a non-invasive and, in some realms, unconventional sample. This is followed by a brief discussion of other matrices that have come to our attention in this context: ocular material, bone matter, injection site tissues, cast pellets/ingesta/
vomitus/gastric contents and scavenging insects. Several of the aforementioned matrices may also be ingested by scavenging species which include ecologically important insect assemblages, and as such may represent an exposure hazard. This possibility is also explored and discussed as it could be incorporated into a risk assessment when appropriate.

**Principles of growth, exposure and incorporation into human hair as this applies to animal species**

Initiated in the 1960s and 1970s to assess human exposure to heavy metals [115], the analysis of hair has since gained increasing acceptance as a viable alternative to that of blood and urine for drug testing in forensic toxicology [115-117]. It has also been analyzed in conjunction with pesticide intoxication cases [118,119]. The fundamentals of hair analysis have been summarized elsewhere [e.g., 120]. Here, we briefly reiterate the key principles of growth and incorporation as they directly relate to detecting contaminant/pharmaceutical exposure in wildlife.

First, hair is only actively growing while the lower follicle is developing and a hair shaft is being produced (i.e., the anagen phase) [121]. During this phase, the hair follicle is bathed and nourished by the bloodstream and compound residues circulating therein can be incorporated into the hair [122] and effectively locked into its keratinized structure and along its length as it grows out [123]. The predominant factors that influence a compound’s incorporation into hair (e.g., affinity for binding to melanin, quantity and type of melanin present and lipophilicity) are discussed elsewhere [119,124-126]. However, whilst the basic principles of incorporation apply to both human and animal hair, some important differences exist. For example, the color of animal hair varies among species and individuals [134], to a much greater degree even than is the case with human hair [125]. Since this factor can affect the uniformity and distribution of incorporated residues, it should be fully factored into any quantitative or even qualitative analyses conducted.

Once formed, hair is highly resistant to environmental degradation [117]. For this reason, compounds that incorporate into hair can be detected in this sample well after they would cease to be detected in tissue, plasma and urine [115,123,127,128]. This is shown in Table 1, which summarizes (in increasing order), the timeframe of detection for drugs of abuse in selected human sample matrices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Detection timeframe in hours or days&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saliva</td>
<td>1 – 36 hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood / plasma</td>
<td>1 – 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>1 – 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweat</td>
<td>1 – 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair (cut)</td>
<td>7 – &gt; 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair (plucked)</td>
<td>1 – &gt; 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Boumba et al. [116]  
<sup>b</sup>Dolan et al. [230]

**Table 1:** Typical timeframe of detection for drugs of abuse and their metabolites in human biological samples.

As previously discussed, wildlife species may be exposed to acute and/or chronic levels of NSAIDs (or other agents) in the environment – either passively or via consumption of dosed or exposed prey/carrión. In theory, residues could be incorporated into hair as early as several hours after exposure [129]. However, that portion of the hair may not reach the surface of the skin for days or weeks [130,131]. Plucking even a minimal sample of hair (e.g., equivalent to 10 mg) right to the roots is only really feasible in the deceased because of the level of discomfort involved and the strain this can place on the hair itself. In summary, while hair may often be an unsuitable, impractical or sub-optimal sample for identification of acute exposure to a toxicant particularly if other conventional samples are available – it is a particularly useful matrix for evaluating chronic exposure to therapeutic levels of compounds (e.g., a veterinary profile) [132,133].

**Applications of animal pelage**

Three principal types of hair are found on domestic animals: 1) guard, 2) fleece and 3) tactile hairs or vibrissae [134]. Guard hairs (e.g., mane and tail hair of horses, bristles of pigs) form the smooth outer coat. Sheep’s wool, or fleece, lacks guard hairs and instead is made up of long, fine hairs that are also soft and curly [135]. Tactile hairs, which are thicker and usually longer than guard hairs, are most commonly found on the face, around the lips and the eyes [135], and have only been sampled on occasion [136]. Used as probes or ‘feelers’, these long, stiff hairs with specialised innervations are sometimes called ‘sinus hairs’ because a large, blood-filled sinus surrounds the deeper portions of the follicle [135]. This connection to the bloodstream suggests that residues would be incorporated into tactile hairs, making them potentially suitable for residue analysis. However, from an animal welfare perspective, these samples should only be collected from carcasses.

It is also important to consider the rate at which hair grows. This can vary among different animal breeds and on different parts of the body [137,138]. In domestic animals, the duration of the anagen phase is genetically determined for each hair type in a given species [139]. There is also evidence that hair growth varies with season [139,140]. Most adult fur-bearing animals have two seasoned molts per year [135], where the light coat worn in the summer is replaced by a heavier winter coat [134]. Cattle usually shed their coats twice a year and individual follicles produce two or three hairs per year with a resting stage between each [135]. Hair follicle activity, which influences growth rate, is highest in summer and lowest in winter which suggests that maximal sensitivity for drug detection should be in summer, when incorporation would be most effective. Popot et al. (2001) [140] recommend sampling hair from the neck and back of horses in the autumn whilst pre-winter growth occurs to detect drugs administered one month previously. It must also be noted, however, that hair is replaced more frequently in animals that are housed outside [131].

Regardless of type or growth rate, animal hair can also be sampled when an agent is known to have been administered topically. For example, the organophosphorus insecticide, famphur, topically applied to livestock to treat against ecto- and endo-parasites, persisted on the hair of cattle for up to 100 days after treatment, and magpies (Pica pica) were then poisoned when they ingested it [141]. Similar incidents have been reported for eagles and other birds of prey that have scavenged on livestock topically treated with other organophosphorus insecticides [142]. Numerous NSAIDs and veterinary agents are administered as a topical gel, though to our knowledge the possibility that scavenging wildlife could be exposed via...
this route has not yet been explored. Species that come into contact with pelage orally, either when collecting it for nesting purposes, or when feeding on a carcass, may be exposed to residues of topically applied agents. Similarly, our opportunistic analysis of otter hair showed the viability of analyzing surface hair washes to identify dermal exposure and refine the information obtained regarding ongoing exposure to compounds of interest within a given environment [79].

Sheep’s wool: atypical growth, incorporation and exposure determination

Wool is considered an atypical sample matrix because it remains in continuous anagen phase, in contrast to other types of animal pelage [135]. External washes of sheep’s wool are routinely screened for residues of veterinary agents as part of quality control, consumer protection measures and the assessment of possible environmental contamination [143,144]. Residues of potentially harmful compounds may therefore be detected in wool long after they have been cleared from the body. Sheep are administered a range of anti-nociceptive compounds (e.g., the NSAID flunixin), antibiotics, anthelmintics and endectocides (e.g., avermectins such as ivermectin), among others. For practical reasons, sheep reared extensively (that is to say allowed to roam and graze freely in generally remote locations), may be administered control-release capsules (e.g., of ivermectin), which emit a given amount on a daily basis [145]. Knowing when sheep were last sheared (i.e., the first point at which new wool could begin incorporating subsequent residues), would enable more accurate estimation of whether and when a compound of interest was administered.

Untreated wool has an oily feel due to the presence of lanolin. Also known as wool ‘grease’ or wool ‘wax’, lanolin is a product of cutaneous sebaceous glands [134]. Residues may be extruded onto the wool’s surface in lanolin, where they are likely to remain unless washed off. As such, oral contact with/consumption of wool may pose a risk to species that use wool to line their nests. For this reason, a qualitative analysis of wool was conducted by [80] and the NSAID flunixin was detected on the exterior of the wool (through ‘washing’) and in extracts of the wool itself. These results have also led to follow-up work utilising quantitative analysis targeting NSAIDs and other compounds of conservation concern (e.g., antimicrobials) of sheep’s wool recovered from bearded vulture nests in Spain (Greeves et al., unpublished data).

Feathers: growth, exposure and incorporation, with an emphasis on vultures and condors

The analysis of feathers during forensic investigations has primarily consisted of physical examination in suspected cases of illegal trafficking, possession of protected species/feathers, and following wildlife mortality/debilitation events (http://www.fws.gov/lab/featheratlas/index.php). This section focuses on how feather analysis offers relevant information regarding the presence of contaminants in both the terrestrial and aquatic environment. Like human hair, feather analysis techniques were pioneered in the 1960s to investigate avian exposure to heavy metals [146]. There is evidence that birds reduce their body burden of heavy metals by offloading or excreting metals into their growing feathers, particularly the primary and secondary feathers [147,148]. A number of heavy metals have been detected in the feathers of living and deceased raptor, seabird/shorebird and passerine species [147,149-156]. Exposure to heavy metals can be direct, namely through ingestion of contaminated material [147], or passive - via atmospheric deposition onto the feathers [154]. For example, elevated concentrations of lead have been detected in the feathers of vultures and condors [157] and it was surmised that an observed interruption in flight feather shedding (by turkey vultures (Cathartes aura)) over a one year period may have been due to lead poisoning [158].

Feathers are inert epidermal structures, composed primarily of keratin with formations resembling human hair. Arranged in a complex pattern of tracts over the body of the bird [159], each feather is unique in color, shape, size and pattern [157]. Like hair, the feather cycle has a growth (or anagen) phase, which spans several days or months, and the resting (or telogen) phase may span a few days to approximately 14 months, depending upon the species and, potentially, the feather type [160]. Feathers grow out of follicles derived from the epithelial and dermal layers of the skin. At the base of the feather follicle is the pulp, which is rich in blood vessels. A central axial artery and numerous vessels and capillaries surround the developing feather. As such, mature feathers are richly connected with muscles, nerves and blood vessels in the dermis [160]. Residues of ingested compounds are incorporated in much the same manner as they are into hair: i.e., from the lower portion of the feather (the calamus) during the growth phase, while the feather is connected to the bloodstream through small blood vessels [146,161]. After the feather is fully formed these vessels atrophy and residues cease to be incorporated (the catagen phase) [162]. The feather then remains attached to the follicle as an inert appendage, until it is shed.

There are five major types of feather: 1) contour/veined; 2) down/bread; 3) powder; 4) semiplumes; and 5) bristles. Contour or veined feathers include the major flight feathers of the wing and of the tail as well as those on the trunk [163]. Down feathers are radially symmetric, fluffy, and mostly present on the ventral trunk to insulate the body [163]. Powder feathers, which grow continuously and are never molted [159], also provide insulation. Semiplumes are found beneath contour feathers and, like down feathers, are fluffy, lack interlocking barbules and barbicles and may contribute to insulation [164]. Bristles, which are stiff whisker-like projections, perform a number of functions, including funnelling insects into the mouth [159]. In this regard they can be compared to tactile hairs. The colors displayed in avian plumage are due to structural adaptations in the feathers and to the presence of a variety of pigments, including melaminis [162]. This is an important consideration when evaluating which feathers to analyze quantitatively because compounds of interest may bind in different amounts based on the concentration and type of these pigments. For a detailed discussion of the principal pigments found in bird feathers see Proctor and Lynch [159].

The rhythm of molt and rate of feather growth are species specific traits, rapid for some and protracted for others. They can also vary depending on whether a species or population is migratory or sedentary [165]. The viability of feather analysis in determining presence/absence of a given compound or substance in any particular species must be assessed relative to these factors. When feathers are rapidly replaced, there is a narrower timeframe during which growth and exposure to a given compound may overlap. If this is the case, analysis of feathers might not reveal that any (recent) exposure has in fact taken place. However for other species, molt can be a more drawn out process, with feather growth continuing over much of the year. This is the pattern typical of larger and longer-lived species such as seabirds and birds of prey, which are often (but not always) those most vulnerable to environmental contaminations. For example, the growth
of a single California condor (Gymnogyps californianus) feather may take up to 4 months [157]. Snyder and colleagues (1987) [231] found that the primary feathers of the California condor grew for a period of between 105 and 120 days, corresponding to a growth rate of 4.5 to 6.0 mm/day. Houston (1975) [166] observed a vulture feather growth rate of 4.7 mm/day in, which is much greater than the 0.23 mm/day estimated for calf hair (Table 2).

It is not known whether a more elevated rate of growth is accompanied by a correspondingly lower level of contaminant incorporation; however, work conducted by Furness and colleagues [152] suggests that this may indeed be the case. And for example, we note that residue levels of methamphetamine (ng/mg) detected in (comparatively slow-growing) human pubic hair were more than double those in head hair [167].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Monthly growth rate in mm / day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary feather</td>
<td>California condor</td>
<td>4.5 – 6(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary feather</td>
<td>Oriental white-backed vulture</td>
<td>4.7(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair (mane)</td>
<td>Horse</td>
<td>0.59(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair (mane)</td>
<td>Horse</td>
<td>0.77 – 0.8(^d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair (flank)</td>
<td>Calf</td>
<td>0.21 - 0.25(^f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair (shoulder)</td>
<td>Domestic dog</td>
<td>0.49 – 0.96(^g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>0.36(^h, i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nail</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>0.10(^j)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Snyder et al. [231]  
\(^b\)Houston [166]  
\(^c\)Whittem et al. [232]  
\(^d\)Popot et al. [140]  
\(^e\)Tracey et al. [233]  
\(^f\)Gaillard et al. [131]  
\(^g\)Gunaratram and Wilkinson [137]  
\(^h\)Sachs and Raff [234]  
\(^i\)Wennig [235]  
\(^j\)Lin et al. [128]

Table 2: Monthly growth of selected keratinous matrices in various species

The use of any veterinary agent to augment body mass, or whose consumption poses a risk to human health (e.g., anabolic steroids, \(\beta\)-agonists and nitrofurans antibiotics) must be carefully monitored [168,169]. Poultry feathers are routinely monitored as part of human food safety testing procedures. Recently, residues of antimicrobials, pharmaceuticals and arsenic were detected in (poultry) feather meal, often sold as fertilizer and animal feed [170,171]. Relative to other sampled tissues (e.g., plasma, fat, muscle and kidney), \(\beta\)-agonist growth promoters such as clenbuterol and salbutamol were detected in significantly higher concentrations in chicken feathers. They were also detectable 43 days after withdrawal, leading the authors to recommend the use of this matrix for detection of illegal administration [169]. Theoretically, the presence of an acutely toxic compound can be detected in the feathers of wildlife species. The recovered feather(s) must have been in the growth phase at the time of exposure, and a sufficient interval must have passed between exposure and death for residues to reach the bloodstream then be incorporated in the highly vascularised pulp. For example, the growth period of primary and secondary feathers of vultures is on the order of months, which greatly exceeds the period during which toxic effects are normally manifested to diclofenac (e.g., 36 – 58 hours between exposure of Gyps vultures to diclofenac and death; [87]). That being the case, residues would potentially be integrated at the root end of a growing feather. As this remains unproven, it therefore remains necessary to establish the timeframe between exposure and incorporation into feathers on a compound-by-compound basis. Another difficulty lies in being able to
identify the feathers where growth coincided with exposure in order to harvest them for analysis. In some cases, feathers in growth phase can be visibly distinguished [166], which means they could be preferentially selected from carcasses for analysis and assessment of recent exposure. Discarded vulture feathers have also been noted around carcass remains [84,166].

Analysis of fecal matter

In a forensic/regulatory context, the fecal matter of food and racing animals has been analyzed for a number of potentially harmful/illicitly used compounds [172-175], including NSAIDs [176]. Residues of veterinary drugs and pharmaceutical agents are increasingly being sought in fecal matter as part of environmental and wildlife monitoring efforts. In the aquatic environment, inputs of fecal matter (e.g., as effluent from cattle feedlots) have been associated with endocrine disruption and reproductive repercussions to fish species [47]. From a terrestrial monitoring perspective, widespread use of manuring (from human and animal biosolids) in agricultural systems may be contributing to the spread of antibiotic resistance in wildlife [177], and to an increase in heavy metal soil burdens in, and bioavailability to, micro-organisms and plants [178] among others.

To our knowledge, neither the dung of often highly medicated livestock nor the fecal matter of vultures – often observed by carcasses and remains [84,166] - has been collected or analyzed for residues of NSAIDs. However, ecologically important insect assemblages and other already vulnerable species may be exposed to such residues, with as yet unknown consequences (e.g., the Egyptian vulture, which is coprophagous [179-180] and rapidly declining in some parts of its range [181,182]. Residues of antiparasitic agents (e.g., ivermectin) in the dung of livestock animals can be harmful to a number of coprophagous insects [183-186] that perform similar ecosystem services to vultures, but on a less obvious microscale. Consequently, the presence of NSAID residues and other relevant harmful compounds - and their persistence in fecal matter - should be better established. Sampling, preservation and study protocols involving feces must reflect that the fecal matter itself and the incorporated compounds could degrade or be modified over time due to weathering by rain and temperature-induced degradation, among other environmental factors [187].

Faced with such constraints, and the need for expediency, the use of specialized tools such as detection dogs may be invaluable in terms of rapidly recovering high quality samples for analysis. Detection dogs are increasingly being used to non-invasively recover wildlife fecal matter during conservation and monitoring studies – particularly where rare and elusive species are involved [188,189]. This technique has steadily gained recognition, especially given its inherent efficiency, resulting in greater sample sizes [16,190]. In parallel, fecal matter has become widely accepted as a viable and data rich sample containing a wealth of genetic, reproductive, dietary and contaminant information [15,16,18,191,192]. Though primarily utilized in terrestrial environments, 'scat dogs' or 'conservation detection dogs' have also been deployed to search for fecal matter in aquatic ecosystems, [193,194]. Similarly, detection dogs were dispatched to find the fecal matter of river otter and mink along riverways to assess presence/absence of several classes of compounds, including NSAIDs, therein (Working Dogs for Conservation, unpublished data). With the exception of NSAIDs and other pharmaceuticals/veterinary agents, numerous classes of contaminants have previously been sought and detected in otter fecal matter [12,81,195-198].

Additional unconventional samples for consideration in environmental, wildlife monitoring and wildlife forensic investigations

This section considers four additional sample matrices and one broad sample category that may be useful in wildlife forensic/ environmental monitoring efforts, and which we believe are worthy of further consideration. In some cases, consumption of these components may pose a secondary exposure risk to vultures and possibly other scavenging species. As such, the analysis of these samples may also provide important information for risk assessments conducted to steer conservation efforts and mitigation measures.

Analysis of ocular material

Eyes and ocular material are analyzed as part of veterinary and safety monitoring efforts. The iris, ciliary body, choroid and retinal layers of the eye all contain melanin [199], identified as the binding site for drugs in pigmented ocular tissues [200]. The retina has also been described as a metabolic 'dead-end' tissue, where residues of parent compounds and metabolites accumulate at high concentrations and are not subject to the higher cellular turnover and clearance rates of tissues such as the liver [199]. For example, while concentrations of the ß-agonist clenbuterol decreased in the muscle and plasma of broiler chickens and were no longer detectable between 24 and 48 hours after withdrawal, eyes (and feathers, as previously discussed) still contained detectable residues 43 days later [169]. Cooper and colleagues (2008) [168] also detected residues of nitrofuran in the eyes (primarily in the retina) of broiler chickens fed a diet consisting of a sub-therapeutic level of the antibiotic, with ocular concentrations of the metabolite that were significantly higher than in muscle or liver. Similarly, Cooper and Kennedy [199] noted that nitrofuran metabolites accumulated in the retina of pigs fed therapeutic doses, at concentrations an order of magnitude higher than those seen in edible tissues (e.g., muscle). They concluded that the elevated retinal concentrations and long depletion half-lives observed for all four of the nitrofuran metabolites indicated these would be detectable at the time of slaughter in the retinas of pigs fed a therapeutic dose at any point in their lifetime [199]. While nitrofurans apparently show lengthy depletion half-lives and very elevated residues in the retinas of food animals, not all ß-agonists accumulate to the same extent [199]. Hence, the incorporation and persistence of different compounds must be established in ocular material on a case-by-case basis. Given that elevated retinal concentrations have typically been observed and that retina is a sparse and difficult matrix to work with, the analysis of whole eyeballs has also been recommended [199].

In terms of sampling, the eyes may be partially protected from environmental decay by the eyelids and their recessed encasement within the skull. However, eyes are frequently scavenged, for example by Egyptian vultures [201] and by griffon vultures (Infante, Martinez and Martin, Personal Communication). If, over time, there is delayed clearance of residues and/or bio-concentration, consumption of this matrix may prove harmful, particularly if an individual is exposed to residues via other pathways or sources as well. Analysis of ocular material could also be used to establish the longer-term veterinary profile of livestock animals deposited in carcass dumps and at feeding stations. This could be used to evaluate compliance with regulatory frameworks and identify illegal administration of therapeutic agents, even following a significant withdrawal period or after lengthy exposure to environmental elements. Similarly, the eyes/ocular material of selected aquatic organisms could be analysed to determine
which suite of pharmaceutical and veterinary agents they have been exposed to within waterways. If the analysis of eyes were shown to be viable, then it would provide an additional sampling matrix to explore exposure. This may require different sample preparation or analytical screening methods (an avenue currently being explored collaboratively by one of the authors of this review).

**Analysis of bone, bone marrow and synovial fluid**

Very few studies have investigated the presence of pharmaceutical or veterinary agents in bone [23,202]. Yet numerous illicit drugs have been detected as part of toxicological and forensic analysis [203-206]. Due to its lipid content and rich vascular supply, compounds present in the bloodstream should readily diffuse into bone marrow [207], especially if, like diclofenac, they are known to accumulate at the site of injection, which often remains, likely injection sites can still be identified and a topical skin/hair analysis can be conducted.

Recommended exploration of ingesta/vomitus/gastric contents and pellets

Gastric contents are typically examined during post-mortem (http://www.tiaft.org/node/86) to determine what/where the decedent last ate, provide a greater sense of relevant activities and whereabouts prior to death, and sometimes estimate time since death [220,221]. Stomach contents can also be analyzed for poisons/toxicants [222]. In suspected wildlife poisoning cases, the decedent’s last meal, and its provenance - usually established via DNA testing - can be of huge significance. Indeed, in southern Spain, the stomach contents and vomit of wildlife have also been routinely analyzed in suspected wildlife poisoning cases (Consejeria de Medio Ambiente, unpublished data). In wildlife, regurgitation of ingested matter is often associated with exposure to an acute poison and is particularly telling when observed in birds of prey [24]. This fact and this sample matrix are both noteworthy because debilitation or mortality arising from exposure to an acutely toxic agent - typically a bait laced with poison - may overshadow the presence of other relevant residues (e.g., of NSAIDs) in the bait itself which would indicate chronic use/exposure. As such, we argue that when available, ingesta/gastric contents (particularly bait material recovered in the stomach of the deceased) should be routinely screened for NSAIDs and other veterinary agents of concern, to begin to gain a sense of local veterinary practices.

Numerous avian species regurgitate pellets (e.g., owls and vultures), and the viability of this sample in toxicant/contaminant monitoring should be further explored. For example, in 2006, an adult cinereous vulture (Aegypius monachus) observed in a debilitated condition was captured from the wild and submitted to a rehabilitation facility in Spain. A blood sample revealed cholinesterase inhibition, symptomatic of exposure to an organophosphorus or carbamate compound [24]. However, more specific information was required to treat the bird and prepare a case against the offender(s). A pellet recovered with the vulture tested positive for chlorfenvinphos, and this result helped to secure a stiff penalty against a gamekeeper, while the vulture recovered and was eventually released [223]. We therefore recommend evaluating whether NSAIDs (and other veterinary agents of concern) can be detected in pellets, particularly as a non-invasive means of monitoring their presence within the agricultural environment.
A note about scavenging and coprophagous insects: exposure risks and viability as samples

As previously discussed, assemblages of scavenging insects perform a vital ecosystem service by helping to break down carcasses [224]. Their activity affects the rate of carcass/cadaver decomposition, and hence represents an important factor in estimating time since death [224-227]. Illicit parent drug and/or metabolites have been detected in insect larvae recovered from cadavers [21,227] and such exposure has been shown to slow larval growth [225,227]. Similarly, residues of carbafuran (and one of its metabolites) have been found in deceased blue bottle flies (Calliphora vomitoria) recovered from an animal carcass in Spain (Consejeria de Medio Ambiente, unpublished data).

We are unaware of any studies that have investigated the potential exposure of scavenging insects to nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, or possible secondary exposure/effects to the species that feed on them. In contrast to scavenging insects, a substantial number of studies have examined the repercussions of veterinary agents excreted in livestock animal dung to coprophagous insect species [186,228] which play a key role in maintaining pasture hygiene [229] and productivity, and in cycling nutrients [183]. However, to our knowledge, the potential exposure of these species to NSAIDs has not yet been evaluated. Given the real possibility that NSAIDs may be chronically present in livestock carcasses and dung available to scavenging and coprophagous insects in agricultural/farming sectors, we strongly recommend further investigation of exposure risks to both species, in conjunction with dung analysis.

Conclusion

Special emphasis was placed on hair, wool and feathers with additional consideration given to faecal matter, eyes and ocular material, bone matter, injection sites, ingesta/gastric/contents/pellets and scavenging/coprophagous insects. We also highlighted a number of circumstances where the presence of a potentially chronically toxic veterinary agent could be missed (e.g., when cause of death arises from exposure to an acute toxin). Irrespective of cause of death, we recommend that existing wildlife monitoring archives are more routinely screened for pharmaceuticals of concern (i.e., NSAIDs) as they often are for better recognized environmental contaminants (i.e., heavy metals, POPs).
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